ECO981: Junior Independent Work, 2022-2023

For Bhatt's JIW group:

AI	Creanza
Accessibility	4.8
Helpfulness	4.7
Meet with AI (scale 1-3)	2.8
Comments on draft helpfulness	4.2
AI overall	4.8

For Brunnermeier's JIW group:

AI	Creanza
Accessibility	4.7
Helpfulness	4.8
Meet with AI (scale 1-3)	2.1
Comments on draft helpfulness	4.4
AI overall	4.9

Do you have any specific feedback for your AI?

Pier is an awesome AI. Very helpful and accessible.

none

They were fantastic. I like the division of duties with Dr. Bhatt focused on high-level topic development, methodology construction, and Pier working with me more on data and results.

Pier Creanza was incredibly helpful. He was very accessible, creative, and willing to put in time and effort to help me succeed.

Both Dr. Bhatt and Pier were phenomenal. I really appreciated the effort that they put into helping me learn more about this field of economics and research as a whole.

Dr. Bhatt and Pier were excellent - keep it up! Very available to talk!

na

AI: Pier was very accessible and always eager to answer questions regarding my JP, which I deeply appreciated. I do wish we had more office hour slots throughout the week outside of Fridays and could receive more specific, line-by-line feedback on our drafts.

n/a

Thanks for being so helpful and answering all my questions!

No

They were both wonderful! Made the experience manageable, and even enjoyable.

My AI, Pier, was incredible. He was always so helpful and encouraging throughout the entire process. He answered all my questions quickly and was a joy to speak to. Would love to have him again.

N/A

The group meetings and feedback were really helpful.

They were both great! Incredibly helpful and I learned a lot from them.

Only positive feedback. I would've been very lost without Pier.

Thanks for all of the great advice provided along the way during all of the check ins and drafts.

N/A

None

I really appreciated all of their feedback during appointments and in group meetings!

Pier was a great AI! He was super kind and encouraging but I felt as though sometimes he had lower standards for good work compared to Prof. Brunnermeier.

Pier was extremely helpful and was very accessible!

the calendar for meetings filled up so fast:(

Pier was a great resource throughout the whole process. Extremely helpful and great at answering emails in a timely manner! He really allowed me to succeed and move forward in my work whenever I was stuck!

They were very helpful!

none

No. You guys were very helpful

Nothing but good things to say.

Nothing but good things

ECO981: Junior Independent Work, 2023-2024

AI	Creanza	
Accessibility	4.6	
Helpfulness	4.5	
Meet with AI (scale 1-3)	2.5	
Comments on draft	4.5	
helpfulness		
AI overall	4.7	
RESPONSES		
# evaluations	11	
# students in group	11	
Response %	100.0%	

Do you ha	ve any specific	feedback for	your AI?
3 T / A			

N/A

Same as above :)

N/A

Pier Paolo Creanza was amazing. He was super kind and understanding, and helped me walk through my theoretical thought process.

Pier was great! I felt that we really connected during our meetings and he really understood what I was trying to explore. 10/10

N/A

I was really grateful to have you as my AI. You were extraordinarily helpful. Thank you

N/A

N/A

I think Pier is nice. I wish I would have met with him more frequently, but out meetings are always helpful.

N/A

ECO 100: Introduction to Microeconomics - P05 - P. Creanza

TA score: 4/5

Course Average: 3.71/5

Precepts - Please comment on the quality of the precepts. How did they contribute to your learning in the course? To what extent did the preceptor raise challenging questions, help clarify course material, and encourage broad student participation? Was the preceptor responsive to students' questions, opinions, and criticism?

Comments

Nice guy, always asked to check in on us if we were understanding.

Precepts with Pier were great, he is very engaging and helps clarify concepts!

I never went

Really well done and helpful

Very nice preceptor who was extremely helpful throughout the semester.

he was nice

The precepts were of excellent quality for sure. The preceptor fully used the 50 minute time slot and kept up at a fast paced while keeping the students engaged and running exercises that were similar to the homework.

Throughout this course, the precept was acceptable. Additional information that built on the lecture was adequately explained, however, I felt as though too much time was often spent on regurgitating lecture material instead of presenting new and challenging material that built on previously established concepts. Additionally, I would appreciate it if the precept could be slightly more timely and not end an average of two to five minutes late each class due to back—to—back classes.

I didn't attend many precepts, but Pier Paulo seemed great in the few that I did.

Great Preceptor, provided much assistance when prompted. He answered emails promptly and was very respectful.

ECO 100: Introduction to Microeconomics - P06 - P. Creanza

TA score: 4.09/5

Course Average: 3.71/5

Precepts - Please comment on the quality of the precepts. How did they contribute to your learning in the course? To what extent did the preceptor raise challenging questions, help clarify course material, and encourage broad student participation? Was the preceptor responsive to students' questions, opinions, and criticism?

Comments

Precepts reinforced information for me and allowed me to cement understandings of concepts. Participation was encouraged and the preceptor was always there for help and made sure everyone understood before moving on

These precepts contributed more to my understanding of the material than any of the other resources offered in this course. Pier presents at an efficient but digestible pace with enough time to answer questions and clarify confusing aspects of that week's topic. I could not have asked for a better economics preceptor.

very good, pier was clear and concise at summarizing the weeks material

The precepts were very helpful and my preceptor took feedback well throughout the semester. The information we learned in precept was much more clear and helpful for overall understanding than in lectures. He did a great job taking time for questions and explaining things that might be confusing.

Precepts were very helpful. They were straightforward to the material needed for PSETs and exams and the preceptor structured them in a way that was engaging and clarifying. I appreciated the use of mentimeter for student engagment.

N/A

The quality of precepts was excellent. Pier answered all questions that were asked and helped to break down content that was not clearly taught or understood. He helped to keep precept engaging with menti and made sure all students were prepared for weekly psets and upcoming exams.